

# Announcements

1) We still have a mentor!

2) Official office hours

MT 10:30 - 11:30 AM

Th 4:15 - 5:15 PM

## Consequences of Triangle Inequality

Let  $a, b, c$  be real #'s

$$1) \quad |a - c| \leq |a - b| + |b - c|$$

$$2) \quad ||a| - |b|| \leq |a - b|$$

## Proofs

1) Trick: add zero

$$\begin{aligned} |a - c| &= |a + \textcircled{0} - c| \\ &= |a + \textcircled{(-b + b)} - c| \\ &= |(a - b) + (b - c)| \end{aligned}$$

apply triangle inequality

with  $x = a - b$ ,  $y = b - c$

$$\underbrace{|x+y|}_{\text{"}} \leq |x| + |y|$$

$$|a-c| \leq |a-b| + |b-c|$$

2) on homework #2, easy!



# Completeness of the Real Numbers

---

Notation: The symbol  $\mathbb{R}$  will denote all real numbers.

We regard the real numbers as a "filling in" of the rational numbers. We will be more precise on what this means in the future -

## Definition (upper / lower bounds)

Let  $S$  be a subset of the real numbers.

1)  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is called a lower bound for  $S$  if  $\alpha \leq x \quad \forall x \in S$ .

2)  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  is called an upper bound for  $S$  if  $\beta \geq x \quad \forall x \in S$

Note: Upper/lower bounds  
are never unique.

Example: Let  $S = (0, 1)$ .

$\alpha = -5$  is a lower bound for  $S$

So is any number less than  $-5$

$\beta = 2$  is an upper bound for  $S$ ,

so is any number greater than  $2$

Definition: (l.u.b, g.l.b) A number

$\alpha$  is called the **greatest lower bound** of a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  if  $\alpha$  is a lower bound of  $S$  and if  $x \geq \alpha$ , then  $x$  is not a lower bound for  $S$ .

Similarly,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  is called the **least upper bound** of  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  if  $\beta$  is an upper bound of  $S$  and if  $y \leq \beta$ , then  $y$  is not an upper bound for  $S$ .



Back to our example with  
 $S = (0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  is the  
greatest lower bound of  $S$   
and  $\beta = 1$  is the least  
upper bound.

Same greatest lower bound  
and least upper bound for

$$S = [0, 1], [0, 1), (0, 1]$$

Note: It is not a consequence  
of the definition that that the  
greatest lower bound or the least  
upper bound be in the given set  $S$ .

Note also that upper or lower bounds need not exist

Examples:  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ , or  $\mathbb{R}$  have no upper or lower bounds

$\mathbb{N}$  has no upper bound,  
but the greatest lower bound  
is  $\alpha = 1$ .

# Alternate Notation

We sometimes call the greatest lower bound of  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  the *infimum* of  $S$  and write

$\inf(S)$ . Similarly, the

least upper bound is sometimes called the *supremum* of  $S$ , and is written  $\sup(S)$

Also  $\text{glb}(S)$  or  $\text{lub}(S)$  are sometimes used for greatest lower or least upper bounds

## Examples:

1) Recall from Calc 2 that

$$e = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \quad \begin{array}{l} n! = n(n-1) \dots 2 \cdot 1 \\ 0! = 1 \end{array}$$

Think of the set  $S$  as all  
'partial sums' starting from  
 $n=0$ .

$$S = \left\{ 1, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{24}, \dots \right\}$$

Each subsequent term in  $S$  is larger than the last, and all elements of  $S$  are rational!

The number  $e$  is the least upper bound for  $S$ .

Note that  $e$  is irrational (Euler?) and even transcendental!

So the sup of a set of rational numbers need not be rational!

# Completeness Axiom for $\mathbb{R}$

Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be bounded from above. Then  $S$  has a least upper bound  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Similarly, if

$S$  is bounded below, then

$S$  has a greatest lower bound  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Example 1 Let  $p$  be a prime

number and let

$$S = \{ x \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 \leq x^2 < p \}$$

This set  $S$  is definitely bounded above, the least upper bound is  $\sqrt{p}$ , which we showed is not a rational number

Proposition (characterization of sup/inf) Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

Then  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  is the least upper bound of  $S$  if and only if  $\beta$  is an upper bound of  $S$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists x \in S$  with  $\beta - \varepsilon < x$ .



Before the proof. In this

class, " $\epsilon$ " is a greek letter that will always refer to positive real

numbers. In most examples,

$\epsilon =$  "small" positive real number

The translation of the proposition

is that  $\beta = \sup(S)$  if and only if

you can get "as close as you like"

to  $\beta$  with elements in  $S$  that

are smaller than  $\beta$

Next time: section 1.4.